

On limits of spacetimes – a coordinate-free approach

F. M. Paiva*, M. J. Rebouças[†] and M. A. H. MacCallum[‡]

* [†] Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas,
R. Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150,
22290-180 Rio de Janeiro – RJ, Brazil

[‡] School of Mathematical Sciences,
Queen Mary and Westfield College,
Mile End Road, London E1 4NS – U. K.

Abstract

A coordinate-free approach to limits of spacetimes is developed. The limits of the Schwarzschild metric as the mass parameter tends to 0 or ∞ are studied, extending previous results. Besides the known Petrov type D and 0 limits, three vacuum plane-wave solutions of Petrov type N are found to be limits of the Schwarzschild spacetime.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.20.Cv

1 Introduction

Many calculations in general relativity are done in a specific coordinate system. However, distinct results might arise if different coordinate systems were

*INTERNET: FMPAIVA@CBPFSU1.CAT.CBPF.BR; BITNET: FMPAIVA@BRLNCC

[†]BITNET: REBOUCAS@BRLNCC

[‡]INTERNET: M.A.H.MACCALLUM@MATHS.QMW.AC.UK

used. Concerning limits, Geroch [7] showed that the Schwarzschild spacetime may have as its limit Minkowski space, a Kasner spacetime or even a singular limit as the mass tends to infinity, depending on the coordinate system in which the limit is taken. Actually, by limit of a spacetime we mean limit of a family of spacetimes as some free parameters are taken to a limit. For instance, in the one-parameter family of Schwarzschild solutions each member is a Schwarzschild spacetime with a specific value for the mass parameter m .

In this paper, using a coordinate-free characterization of metrics borrowed from the equivalence problem [3, 8], we develop an approach to finding the limits of a given spacetime¹. Also, we apply our method to the Schwarzschild metric, recovering and extending the results in the literature [7].

In section 2 we fix the notation, introduce the equivalence problem and show how it leads to a coordinate-free characterization of spacetimes and, therefore, to a coordinate-free approach to limits. In section 3 we apply this technique to the study of the limits of the Schwarzschild solution. Section 4 concludes with some considerations about further improvements of the method and its application to other situations.

2 The coordinate-free approach

In this section, the equivalence problem and its solution due to Cartan [3] and Karlhede [8] are presented. We show that it leads to a coordinate-free description of spacetimes, which is the basis of our approach to dealing with limits. The main features of this new method are discussed — the details appear, as it is applied to the Schwarzschild spacetime, in the next section.

Given two spacetimes M and $\tilde{M}$, in general relativity, one might wish to decide whether or not they are locally equivalent (the equivalence problem), i.e., whether a coordinate transformation

$$\tilde{x}^a = \tilde{x}^a(x) \tag{2.1}$$

exists such that

$$g_{ab}(x) = \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^c}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^d}{\partial x^b} \tilde{g}_{cd}(\tilde{x}) \tag{2.2}$$

¹Although we have focused our attention on a Petrov type D vacuum spacetime, the coordinate-free approach devised in this paper can be extended to non-vacuum spacetimes with different Petrov types.

holds, where $g_{ab}(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_{cd}(\tilde{x})$ are the components of the metric tensor of each spacetime in the coordinates x and $\tilde{x}$ respectively. A first attempt to solve this problem would probably be to use the scalars made by contraction of the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives [13]. Unfortunately this fails since, for example, all these scalars vanish for plane-wave and Minkowski spacetimes and yet they are not the same. The best known solution to the equivalence problem was presented by Cartan [3] and can be summarized as follows.

Let ω^A ($A = 0, \dots, 3$) be a frame such that the line element can be written as

$$ds^2 = \eta_{AB} \omega^A \omega^B. \quad (2.3)$$

In a constant frame (η_{AB} constant), find the components of the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives up to (possibly) the 10th order for both metrics. Then:

Theorem 1 *The two metrics are equivalent if and only if there exist coordinate and Lorentz transformations which transform one set of components into the other.*

Notice that there may be 11 steps, from the 0th order derivative up to the 10th. This number is somehow related to the 6 Lorentz transformations, the 4 coordinates of the spacetime and one integrability condition. Karlhede [8] introduced a procedure, used in practice, in which the coordinate and Lorentz transformations are treated differently. A fixed frame is chosen to perform the calculations so that only the coordinates appear in the components of the Riemann tensor and not the Lorentz parameters. To describe the Karlhede algorithm, we introduce the following notation:

R_n : the set of components of the covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor from the 0th to the n^{th} order — the *Cartan scalars*;

C_n : the algebraically independent Cartan scalars among R_n ;

G_n : the isometry group of R_n ;

H_n : the isotropy group of R_n ;

t_n : the number of independent functions of the coordinates in R_n ;

d_n : the dimension of the orbit of G_n , given by $d_n = 4 - t_n$. Note that $\dim(G_n) = d_n + \dim(H_n)$.

The 0th step of the algorithm is the following. Choose a tetrad basis in which the metric is constant. Calculate R_0 and, using Lorentz transformations, find a basis where it takes a well-defined standard form [8]. Then find H_0 and d_0 . H_0 is found with the help of the Petrov and Segre classifications, while d_0 is the dimension of the spacetime minus t_0 . The next n steps are similar to each other, as described below.

Calculate R_n and, using H_{n-1} , find a basis where R_n takes a well-defined standard form [8]. Then find H_n and d_n . Now, H_n is easily found as it must be a subgroup of H_{n-1} , which is usually of low dimension. If $H_n \subset H_{n-1}$ (strictly, i.e. $H_n \neq H_{n-1}$) or $d_n < d_{n-1}$, the algorithm continues for another step. If $H_n = H_{n-1}$ and $d_n = d_{n-1}$, then R_n can be expressed as functions of R_{n-1} , and so the algorithm stops. In most cases the algorithm stops at the 2nd or 3rd covariant derivative — the 4th is the highest derivative necessary until now [10].

The set R_n must be calculated in this way for the two metrics individually. As the frames are already fixed in both cases, we have only to verify whether or not coordinate transformations exist which transform one set of components to the other as stated in theorem 1. Although this step is not algorithmic, in most cases it can be tackled with the help of a computer algebra system. All the algorithmic steps are already implemented in the computer algebra system SHEEP [6], and constitute a suite of computer algebra programs called CLASSI [2]. A database of spacetimes together with their Cartan scalars has been produced using CLASSI [13].

Not all components of the Riemann tensor and its covariant derivatives are algebraically independent; working with spinors MacCallum and Åman [12] found a minimal set of algebraically independent quantities which are actually calculated in the algorithm. Their components will be called *algebraically independent Cartan scalars* and denoted by C_n , according to the order of derivation. For vacuum solutions, as is the case of Schwarzschild, C_2 is:

$$0^{\text{th}} \text{ derivative : } \quad \Psi_A, \quad A = (0, \dots, 4); \quad (2.4)$$

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ derivative : } \quad D\Psi_{AB'}, \quad A = (0, \dots, 5), \quad B = (0, 1); \quad (2.5)$$

$$2^{\text{nd}} \text{ derivative : } \quad D^2\Psi_{AB'}, \quad A = (0, \dots, 6), \quad B = (0, 1, 2), \quad (2.6)$$

where Ψ_A is the Weyl spinor and $D\Psi_{AB'}$ and $D^2\Psi_{AB'}$ are the first and second symmetrized covariant derivatives of Ψ_A , respectively [13].

The Cartan scalars are scalars under coordinate transformations. Also, they are sufficient to decide the equivalence of metrics, so they contain all local information about a metric and provide a coordinate-free local characterization of a spacetime. Nevertheless, for each frame used, a different set of Cartan scalars may be obtained. Although in the equivalence problem this has been overcome by choosing standard forms for the scalars which fix the frame up to isometries of the spacetime itself, in what concerns characterizations of metrics they are not *frame-free*. In other words, they are scalars on the frame bundle and become scalars on the manifold only after a choice of a canonical frame.

It could appear at first sight that the coordinate arbitrariness is just substituted by the frame freedom, but this is not so. Coordinates transform according to the manifold mapping group (MMG), with infinite dimension. Working with components in a coordinate basis, the group at each point is $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$, with dimension 16. Frames also transform according to $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$, but one can always impose a specific metric η_{AB} in (2.3), Minkowski for instance, and the frame transformations become restricted to the Lorentz group, with 6 dimensions.

Therefore, instead of performing limits on the metric tensor subjected to $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$, we shall work with scalars, the Cartan scalars, and the Lorentz group. Taking into account information given by the Petrov (and Segre for non-vacuum spacetimes) classification, as we shall discuss in the next section, only subgroups of the Lorentz group will have to be considered. Classifications of the covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor would also be very helpful, but unfortunately they do not yet exist.

In order to use the Cartan scalars and the Petrov and Segre classifications in the study of limits, we shall take into account one of Geroch's [7] hereditary properties of limits. He shows that if a tensor, vector or scalar constructed from the metric and its derivatives vanishes for all members of a family of spacetimes, it must also vanish for any limit of this family. So, he concludes, limits of vacuum spacetimes ($R_{ab} = 0$) are vacuum, and limits of a Petrov type are either of the same Petrov type or one of its specializations. This follows from the fact that some scalars constructed from the Weyl tensor vanish as we go down through its specializations.

The notation used for the Lorentz transformations and their effects on the

Riemann spinor may be found in [8]. The effect on the covariant derivatives of the Riemann spinor is just a generalization of this result to higher order symmetrized spinors.

3 Limits of the Schwarzschild spacetime

The Schwarzschild line element may be written as

$$ds^2 = A dt^2 - A^{-1} dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$A = 1 - \frac{2m}{r}. \quad (3.2)$$

In this coordinate system the limit of the component g_{00} of the metric diverges as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Nevertheless, Geroch [7] shows that in the coordinate systems defined by

$$\tilde{r} = m^{-1/3}r, \quad \tilde{t} = m^{1/3}t, \quad \rho = m^{1/3}\theta \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$x = r + m^{4/3}, \quad \rho = m^{4/3}\theta, \quad (3.4)$$

the limits of the metric are a Kasner spacetime and Minkowski space respectively. Following the reasoning outlined in the previous section, we shall now calculate the Cartan scalars and study their limits.

In the null tetrad

$$\omega^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta^0 + \theta^1), \quad \omega^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta^0 - \theta^1), \quad (3.5)$$

$$\omega^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta^2 + i\theta^3), \quad \omega^3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\theta^2 - i\theta^3),$$

where θ^A is a Lorentz tetrad ($\eta_{AB} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$) given by

$$\theta^0 = A^{1/2}dt, \quad \theta^1 = A^{-1/2}dr, \quad \theta^2 = r d\theta, \quad \theta^3 = r \sin \theta d\phi, \quad (3.6)$$

the nonzero algebraically independent Cartan scalars (C_n) are:

$$0^{\text{th}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \Psi_2 = -\frac{m}{r^3}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\text{1st derivative:} \quad D\Psi_{20'} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{m}{r^4} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$D\Psi_{31'} = -\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{m}{r^4} \sqrt{1 - \frac{2m}{r}}, \quad (3.9)$$

$$\text{2nd derivative:} \quad D^2\Psi_{20'} = \frac{12m^2}{r^6} - \frac{6m}{r^5}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$D^2\Psi_{31'} = \frac{27m^2}{2r^6} - \frac{6m}{r^5}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$D^2\Psi_{42'} = \frac{12m^2}{r^6} - \frac{6m}{r^5}. \quad (3.12)$$

Some useful information such as Petrov and Segre types and dimension of the isometry and isotropy groups can be found directly from the Cartan scalars. The easiest way of doing this is to follow the steps of the Karlhede algorithm, as we do below.

The only nonzero member of C_0 is Ψ_2 ; the Ricci spinor and the curvature scalar vanish. So we are dealing with a vacuum solution, as expected, and from now on only covariant derivatives of the Weyl spinor will appear. The Petrov type is D so the standard form for Ψ_A is the above one (eq. (3.7)) [8]. H_0 for this Petrov type in this canonical frame is the 2-parameter group of boosts in the $\omega^0 - \omega^1$ plane

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.13)$$

where a is real, and spatial rotations in the $\omega^2 - \omega^3$ plane

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.14)$$

where θ is real [8]. There is only one independent function of the coordinates (Ψ_2), so $t_0 = 1$ and $d_0 = 3$.

In the next step of the algorithm, we calculate C_1 which contains C_0 with $D\Psi_{20'}$ and $D\Psi_{31'}$. Under H_0 the nonzero components of the transformed $D\Psi_{AB'}$ are

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{20'} = a^2 D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{31'} = a^{-2} D\Psi_{31'}. \quad (3.16)$$

The parameter a can be chosen in order to make these two components equal up to a sign. As the spatial rotation does not affect them, H_1 is the 1-parameter group of spatial rotations (3.14). There is no new independent function, so $d_1 = 3$.

We proceed with the algorithm and find C_2 as expressions (3.7)–(3.12). They can be shown to be invariant under the spatial rotations (3.14) and also they contain no new independent function. So $H_2 = H_1$ and $d_2 = d_1$ and the algorithm stops. Therefore the orbit of the isometry group has dimension 3 and the isotropy group is one-dimensional. So the isometry group has dimension 4. Having this information, we can now begin the study of the limits of the Schwarzschild family.

If the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ is taken, using the Cartan scalars given by (3.7)–(3.12), the result is a divergent limit. Nevertheless, if the coordinate transformations (3.3) and (3.4) are performed on these scalars, regular limits are obtained and the resulting Cartan scalars can be shown to be those of a Kasner spacetime and Minkowski space, respectively. So, although we are explicitly imposing a limit only on the parameter m , a choice of a coordinate system implicitly imposes a limit on the Cartan scalars which is outwith our control. This means that a limit of a spacetime is not entirely defined just by the limit of the mass m — we have to say explicitly what are the limits of all Cartan scalars in order to have a single limit spacetime. This choice of the limits of the Cartan scalars is not, however, completely arbitrary as there are relations between the Cartan scalars derived from (3.7)–(3.12) which are hereditary in the sense of Geroch. Indeed, eliminating r from (3.8)–(3.12), the Cartan scalars for the Schwarzschild spacetime can be written² as:

$$0^{\text{th}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \Psi_2 = -\frac{m}{r^3}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ derivative:} \quad D\Psi_{20'} = \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}\Psi_2^{\frac{4}{3}}\sqrt{m^{-\frac{2}{3}} + 2\Psi_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$D\Psi_{31'} = -D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.19)$$

$$2^{\text{nd}} \text{ derivative:} \quad D^2\Psi_{20'} = \frac{4}{3}\frac{(D\Psi_{20'})^2}{\Psi_2}, \quad (3.20)$$

²Incidentally, eqs. (3.7) and (3.8) may be solved to give r and m as functions of Ψ_2 and $D\Psi_{20'}$, so a mass and a radial distance can be defined in a coordinate-free way.

$$D^2\Psi_{31'} = -D^2\Psi_{20'} - \frac{3}{2}\Psi_2^2, \quad (3.21)$$

$$D^2\Psi_{42'} = D^2\Psi_{20'}. \quad (3.22)$$

Expressions (3.18)–(3.22) are coordinate-free so they hold for any limit of the Schwarzschild spacetime. Therefore, once limits of m and Ψ_2 are chosen, the limits of all the other scalars have to satisfy these expressions.

Eq. (3.17) is valid in a specific coordinate system and, with suitable coordinate transformations, its dependence on m can be made arbitrary. Therefore, the limits of Ψ_2 and m can be chosen independently. We shall study the limits as the mass tends to 0 or ∞ combined with the four possible limits for Ψ_2 , namely, (a) 0; (b) a function of the four coordinates, $f(x^i)$; (c) a non-zero constant; or (d) ∞ .

Before imposing the limit on m , it is worth checking whether the above limits satisfy basic integrability conditions, i.e., whether a metric could exist with those values for Ψ_A . Case (a) is Minkowski space, provided that all covariant derivatives vanish, and (b) is possible as shown below. The case (c) is not possible as the Newman-Penrose equations [11] become incompatible if we impose vacuum together with the condition $\Psi_2 = \text{const}$ and $\Psi_A = 0$, $A \neq 2$. Finally, although (d) is possible, in this paper we shall not consider singular limits, i.e., limits where a scalar tends to ∞ in some region of the spacetime. To study these limits junction conditions would have to be analysed and this is beyond the scope of the present paper.

We shall now discuss the two remaining possibilities, namely (a) and (b), for each choice of the limit of m .

1. $m \rightarrow \infty$

- (a) $\Psi_2 \rightarrow 0$. From (3.18)–(3.22) all Cartan scalars tend to zero. So Minkowski space is a limit of the Schwarzschild spacetime.
- (b) $\Psi_2 \rightarrow f(x^i)$. From (3.18), $D\Psi_{20'} \rightarrow 3(f(x^i))^{3/2}$. In principle this could lead to many different limit spacetimes, depending on the function $f(x^i)$. Theorem 1 can then be used to check whether those spacetimes are really inequivalent for different functions, say $f(x^i)$ and $g(\tilde{x}^i)$. As eqs. (3.18)–(3.22) hold for any limit, only the compatibility of

$$f(x^i) = g(\tilde{x}^i) \quad (3.23)$$

is left to be checked. However, this equation just defines the coordinate transformations necessary to transform one set of Cartan scalars into the other. Therefore, this case consists of a single limit.

2. $m \rightarrow 0$

- (a) $\Psi_2 \rightarrow 0$. Similarly to (1.a), this limit is Minkowski space.
- (b) $\Psi_2 \rightarrow f(x^i)$. From (3.18), $D\Psi_{20'} \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, this is a singular limit.

The integrability conditions for the full set of scalars obtained would have to be checked now. This is in general a difficult task [9]. Nevertheless, cases (1.a) and (2.a) are easily recognized as Minkowski space and the metric of case (1.b) is known to be a Kasner metric [7].

One could now ask what are the three coordinate systems in which the Schwarzschild metric has the limits above — do they exist? We still do not know how to answer such questions in the general case; nevertheless for Schwarzschild we can present the coordinate systems. They are those given by Geroch [7] for the two cases where $m \rightarrow \infty$ and the one given in (3.1) for $m \rightarrow 0$.

Now we proceed with the analysis of the Lorentz freedom of the definition of the frame, referred to in section 2. From Geroch's hereditary property we conclude that Petrov type D solutions may have as a limit only Petrov types D, N or 0 [14]. Nevertheless, in the standard frame we chose, only Ψ_2 is different from zero in C_0 and it can be shown [8] that this condition is impossible for Petrov type N metrics. Moreover, from the hereditary property, scalars which vanish for all members of a family must also be zero in the limit, so with the Cartan scalars calculated in this frame it will not be possible to study Petrov type N limits (Petrov type 0 limits present no problem as all components of the Ψ_A vanish identically). Therefore, it is necessary to transform to another frame where the Cartan scalars may have a Petrov type N limit. The parameters of this transformation ought to be defined in a coordinate-free way — they should be functions of the Cartan scalars.

As the analysis in the first frame seems to have exhausted all possible limits of the Schwarzschild spacetime, it looks as though no new limit can arise now. Nevertheless, it was assumed that the limit as $\Psi_2 \rightarrow 0$ had to

be Minkowski space, and this is not necessary now. Indeed, if we choose an infinite limit for the frame transformation parameters, the limit of the transformed C_0 might not be zero as $\Psi_2 \rightarrow 0$ and might be Petrov type N. One may question how it can be a Petrov type N solution if all Cartan scalars vanish in the first frame (this should be Minkowski space!). The answer to this question seems to be that before the limit is taken, the two frames are related by a Lorentz transformation, therefore they describe the same space-time. But after taking the limit, the frames are related by an “infinite Lorentz transformation”, which actually is not a Lorentz transformation. Therefore, these two frames correspond to different spacetimes, one to Minkowski space as we found earlier, the other to Petrov type N spacetimes.

Similarly, whenever the isotropy group decreases through the Karlhede algorithm, i.e., whenever an n^{th} covariant derivative is used to fix the frame, some limits might be missed. Nevertheless, as a classification for the covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor does not exist yet, a different procedure will be used. In the present case, we apply the isotropy group H_0 of C_0 given by (3.13)–(3.14) to the Cartan scalars, obtaining (3.15)–(3.16). From the hereditary property, in the limit only $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{20'}$ and $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{31'}$ may be non-zero. A boost (3.13) can then be applied to make them equal up to a sign. As none of these two transformations changes the relative sign of the components, eq. (3.19) still holds in the limit. So we do not really lose any Petrov type D limit and the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2 *The only Petrov type D or 0 local, non-singular limits of the Schwarzschild family are:*

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the Minkowski (type 0) and the Kasner (type D) spacetimes;

as $m \rightarrow 0$, the Minkowski spacetime.

Now we shall deal with the Petrov type N limits and show that indeed they can occur. For any Petrov type N solution a frame may be chosen such that [8]

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_0 &= \Psi_1 = \Psi_2 = \Psi_3 = 0, \\ \Psi_4 &= 1.\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

To transform our previous frame to one in which the Cartan scalars might have the above limit we can use a null rotation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ z & 1 \end{pmatrix},\tag{3.25}$$

with z complex. In order to have a sufficiently generic frame suitable for all Petrov type N limits, we apply the isotropy group of C_0 in the standard form (3.24). This is again a null rotation and the product of these two null rotations is also a null rotation with some complex parameter z . The transformed set C_1 is (for sake of brevity we do not display the whole set C_2)

$$0^{\text{th}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \tilde{\Psi}_2 = \Psi_2, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_3 = 3z\Psi_2, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\tilde{\Psi}_4 = 6z^2\Psi_2, \quad (3.28)$$

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \widetilde{D\Psi}_{20'} = D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.29)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{21'} = \bar{z}D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.30)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{30'} = 3zD\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{31'} = (3z\bar{z} - 1)D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.32)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{40'} = 6z^2D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.33)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{41'} = (6z^2\bar{z} - 4z)D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.34)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{50'} = 10z^3D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.35)$$

$$\widetilde{D\Psi}_{51'} = (10z^3\bar{z} - 10z^2)D\Psi_{20'}, \quad (3.36)$$

where Ψ_2 and $D\Psi_{20'}$ are given by (3.17) and (3.18). Here and in what follows we use a bar to denote complex conjugation.

In order to obtain the standard form (3.24), the limits of Ψ_2 and z must be

$$\Psi_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.37)$$

in such a way that the limits of the products in (3.27) and (3.28) are

$$z\Psi_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 6z^2\Psi_2 \rightarrow 1. \quad (3.38)$$

These conditions hold if and only if

$$z^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{6\Psi_2}, \quad (3.39)$$

which is a coordinate-free definition.

As singular limits are not considered in this paper, only the component with the highest power of z (the last one) among $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{AB'}$ (eqs. (3.29)–(3.31)) may not tend to zero. Its limit can be written as

$$\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow 10z^3\bar{z}D\Psi_{20'}. \quad (3.40)$$

Substituting the expression for $D\Psi_{20'}$ from (3.18) into (3.40) and using the limit (3.39) we obtain

$$\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow \frac{5}{6\sqrt{2}}\frac{\bar{z}}{z}\Psi_2^{-\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{m^{-\frac{2}{3}} + 2\Psi_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}. \quad (3.41)$$

From (3.37), the term outside the square root tends to ∞ , therefore the square root must tend to zero in order to have a bounded limit. This implies that $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Similarly, applying the transformation (3.25) to the second covariant derivative (3.20)–(3.22) we find that only the last component does not necessarily vanish in the limit. Its limit is

$$\widetilde{D^2}\Psi_{62'} \rightarrow 15z^4\bar{z}^2D^2\Psi_{20'} + 40z^3\bar{z}D^2\Psi_{31'}. \quad (3.42)$$

Substituting (3.20) and (3.21) into this expression, and using the limits (3.39) and (3.40) we have

$$\widetilde{D^2}\Psi_{62'} \rightarrow \frac{6}{5}(\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'})^2 - \frac{5}{3}\frac{\bar{z}}{z}. \quad (3.43)$$

From now on we shall consider $m \geq 0$. Therefore, from eqs. (3.7) and (3.8) we infer that Ψ_2 is a real negative function, while $D\Psi_{20'}$ is either real positive or pure imaginary positive. These properties are hereditary in the sense of Geroch. Thus, from (3.39) the limit of z is pure imaginary and from (3.40), $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'}$ is either real negative or pure imaginary negative. Thus the nonzero limits of the Cartan scalars as $m \rightarrow \infty$ may now be written as

$$0^{\text{th}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \widetilde{\Psi}_4 \rightarrow 1, \quad (3.44)$$

$$1^{\text{st}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow -\frac{5}{6\sqrt{2}}\Psi_2^{-\frac{2}{3}}\sqrt{m^{-\frac{2}{3}} + 2\Psi_2^{\frac{1}{3}}}, \quad (3.45)$$

$$2^{\text{nd}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \widetilde{D^2}\Psi_{62'} \rightarrow \frac{6}{5}(\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'})^2 + \frac{5}{3}. \quad (3.46)$$

This set of Cartan scalars gives rise to Petrov type N metrics with a two-dimensional H_0 given by (3.25), $t_0 = 0$ and $d_0 = 4$. The first covariant derivative $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'}$ may take three limits, namely: (1) constant different from zero, (2) a function of the four coordinates, $f(x^i)$, or (3) 0. From these, the limit of $\widetilde{D^2\Psi}_{62'}$ may be calculated using (3.46). We shall now study these three limits of $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'}$.

1. $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow \text{constant}$. For each real or imaginary pure negative value of this constant, satisfying (3.46), an inequivalent spacetime is obtained. The isotropy group H_0 of C_1 is found to be equal to H_0 . There is no independent function of the coordinates, so $d_1 = d_0 = 4$. Therefore the Karlhede algorithm stops at the first covariant derivative. The orbit of the isometry group has dimension 4 which implies that the metric is spacetime homogeneous. The isotropy group is two-dimensional and the isometry group has dimension 6.
2. $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow f(x^i)$. There is one independent function of the coordinates, namely, the function $f(x^i)$, so $t_1 = 1$. Therefore the Karlhede algorithm proceeds to the second covariant derivative (3.46) and stops with $H_2 = H_1 = H_0$ and $t_2 = t_1 = 1$. Hence, the orbit of the isometry group has dimension 3, the isotropy group is two-dimensional and the dimension of the isometry group is 5.
3. $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow 0$. From (3.46), $\widetilde{D^2\Psi}_{62'} \rightarrow 5/3$. This set of scalars cannot correspond to any metric as the covariant derivative of a vanishing spinor must also vanish.

Instead of analysing the full integrability conditions [9], we searched the CLASSI database [13] for spacetimes with those Cartan scalars. We found that those spacetimes are special cases of the plane-wave class (21.44) in ref. [11], which can be written as

$$ds^2 = [\bar{A}(u)z^2 + A(u)\bar{z}^2 + B(u)z\bar{z}]du^2 + 2dudv - 2dzd\bar{z}, \quad (3.47)$$

where $A(u)$ is complex and $B(u)$ is real. Setting $B(u)$ to zero in order to obtain a vacuum spacetime, the nonvanishing Cartan scalars are:

$$0^{\text{th}} \text{ derivative:} \quad \tilde{\Psi}_4 = 1, \quad (3.48)$$

$$\text{1st derivative:} \quad \widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} = A^{-\frac{5}{4}} \overline{(A^{-\frac{1}{4}})} A_{,u} , \quad (3.49)$$

$$\text{2nd derivative:} \quad \widetilde{D^2}\Psi_{62'} = A^{-\frac{3}{2}} \overline{(A^{-\frac{1}{2}})} A_{,uu} , \quad (3.50)$$

where we have used tilde for compatibility with the notation we have been using in the type N analysis. Here and henceforth $A_{,u}$ and $A_{,uu}$ denote the first and second derivative of A with respect to the coordinate u .

For specific functions A , the limits (1) and (2) above are recovered. Indeed:

1. $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow \text{constant}$. According to [11], in the paragraph below eq. (21.44), the metric (3.47) is homogeneous if and only if

$$A(u) = A_0 e^{i\kappa u} \quad (3.51)$$

or

$$A(u) = A_0 u^{2i\kappa-2}, \quad (3.52)$$

where $A_0 > 0$ and κ are real constants. Then, from (3.49) we obtain

$$\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} = iA_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \kappa \quad (3.53)$$

or

$$\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} = -2A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} + i2A_0^{-\frac{1}{2}} \kappa , \quad (3.54)$$

respectively. Choosing $\kappa = -1$ in (3.53) and $\kappa = 0$ in (3.54) all pure imaginary negative and real negative constant values of $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'}$ are recovered.

From (3.50), the second covariant derivative becomes

$$\widetilde{D^2}\Psi_{62'} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{A_0} \quad (3.55)$$

or

$$\widetilde{D^2}\Psi_{62'} = -\frac{4\kappa^2}{A_0} + \frac{6}{A_0} - i\frac{10\kappa}{A_0}. \quad (3.56)$$

Substituting (3.53)–(3.56) into (3.46) we find only two possible solutions for $A(u)$, namely

$$A(u) = \frac{3}{25} e^{-iu} \quad (3.57)$$

or

$$A(u) = \frac{18}{25}u^{-2}. \quad (3.58)$$

2. $\widetilde{D}\Psi_{51'} \rightarrow f(x^i)$. Substituting (3.49) and (3.50) into (3.46), the following equation for $A(u)$ is obtained:

$$A_{,uu} - \frac{6}{5}A^{-1}(A_{,u})^2 - \frac{5}{3}A^{\frac{3}{2}}\overline{(A^{\frac{1}{2}})} = 0. \quad (3.59)$$

Among the solutions of this equation, those of the form (3.51) and (3.52) have already been discussed in the previous case. Any other solution gives rise to the present limit case and similarly to the Petrov type D limit (1.b), namely, $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Psi_2 \rightarrow f(x^i)$, they lead to a single limit spacetime.

Therefore, three Petrov type N limits as $m \rightarrow \infty$ were found. They are special cases of the metric (3.47). Two are spacetime homogeneous with $A(u)$ given by (3.57) and (3.58). The third one is an inhomogeneous spacetime where $A(u)$ is a solution of eq. (3.59), provided the conditions in the paragraph below this equation are taken into consideration.

No Petrov type N limit of the Schwarzschild family was found as $m \rightarrow 0$.

4 Conclusion

Using techniques borrowed from the equivalence problem of metrics, we have developed a coordinate-free approach to finding limits of spacetimes. Using this approach, we have studied the local non-singular limits of the Schwarzschild 1-parameter family as the mass m tends to zero or infinity. As $m \rightarrow 0$ only the Minkowski space (Petrov type 0) was found as the limit. As $m \rightarrow \infty$ five limits were found: the Minkowski space, a Kasner spacetime of Petrov type D, and two homogeneous and one inhomogeneous vacuum plane wave solutions of Petrov type N. No other Petrov type is possible since the Schwarzschild metric is Petrov type D.

The Petrov type D and 0 limits we found were already known [7]. One of the advantages of our coordinate-free approach is that by using it we have proved that they are the only local non-singular Petrov type D and 0 limits of the Schwarzschild spacetime as $m \rightarrow 0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$ (theorem 2). Another

important feature is that we have presented a systematic way of searching for limits. Indeed, the three Petrov type N limits we have found are new as far as we know. Although we feel we have covered all Petrov type N limits of the Schwarzschild spacetime when $m \rightarrow 0$ and $m \rightarrow \infty$, we cannot ensure that refinements of the coordinate-free approach we have presented could not lead to some other limit spacetime.

In his paper Geroch [7] discusses several hereditary properties. One of them states that the dimension of the isometry group either increases or remains the same in the limiting process. All the limits found here are in full agreement with this result.

After analysing the limits of the Schwarzschild spacetime, the reasons mentioned in section 2 for the advantages of our approach seem to be clearer. Firstly, we are dealing with scalars so that, in a given fixed frame, all limits can be directly determined, as we did in order to find the limits of Petrov types 0 and D. Note that in coordinates, the limits are taken using the components of the metric tensor. Secondly, the frames which may lead to new limits are related to the initial one by subgroups of the Lorentz group, while coordinate bases transform according to $GL(4, \mathbb{R})$.

Although the presentation of our approach has been mainly in terms of the Schwarzschild spacetime, which is a Petrov type D vacuum solution of Einstein's field equations, the techniques we have introduced can be extended to other situations. For non-vacuum spacetimes, e.g., one ought to take into account the Segre classification. To analyse limits of metrics with other Petrov types, frame transformations different from (3.25) have to be considered.

Two improvements on the equivalence techniques would certainly bring refinements to our approach: firstly, the classification of the covariant derivatives of the Riemann tensor, analogous to the Petrov and Segre classifications; secondly, a full study of the integrability conditions on the Cartan scalars [9].

We have made use throughout this paper of one of Geroch's hereditary properties that we discussed in section 2. Nevertheless, since the Cartan scalars fully describe the spacetime, we believe that even the hereditary properties of limits may be proved using the techniques outlined here. Another future development would be an algorithm, or similar, to find the coordinate systems which give the predicted limits, or at least a proof of the existence of such systems. Moreover, it would be interesting to include in this analysis singular limits, especially those which are singular only on a hypersurface.

This would extend our analysis of the Schwarzschild spacetime to include the singular limits presented in [1], [4] and [5].

Acknowledgments

F. M. Paiva would like to thank his colleagues at Queen Mary and Westfield College where part of this work was done, the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) for financial support and Jim Skea for his help with the CLASSI database. F. M. Paiva and M. J. Rebouças thank A. F. F. Teixeira and F. J. Wright for useful comments.

References

- [1] Aichelburg, P. C. and Sexl, R. U. (1971), “*On the Gravitational Field of a Massless Particle*”, Gen. Rel. Grav. **2**, 303–312.
- [2] Åman, J. E. (1987), “*Manual for CLASSI - Classification Programs for Geometries in General Relativity (Third Provisional Edition)*”, University of Stockholm Report.
- [3] Cartan, E. (1951), “*Leçons sur la Géométrie des Espaces de Riemann*”, Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- [4] Dray, T. and 't-Hooft, G. (1985), “*The Gravitational Shock Wave of a Massless Particle*”, Nuclear Phys. **B253**, 173–188.
- [5] Ferrari, V. and Pendenza, P. (1990), “*Boosting the Kerr Metric*”, Gen. Rel. Grav. **22**, 1105–1117.
- [6] Frick, I. (1977), “*SHEEP Users Guide*”, Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Stockholm Report 77–14.
- [7] Geroch, R. (1969), “*Limits of Spacetimes*”, Commun. Math. Phys. **13**, 180–193.
- [8] Karlhede, A. (1980), “*A Review of the Geometrical Equivalence of Metrics in General Relativity*”, Gen. Rel. Grav. **12**, 693–707.

- [9] Karlhede, A. and Lindström, U. (1983), “*Finding Space-Time Geometries without Using a Metric*”, Gen. Rel. Grav. **15**, 597–610.
- [10] Koutras, A. (1992), “*A spacetime for which the Karlhede invariant classification requires the fourth covariant derivative of the Riemann tensor*”, Class. Quantum Grav. **9**, L143–L145.
- [11] Kramer, D., Stephani, H., MacCallum, M. and Herlt, E. (1980), “*Exact Solutions of Einstein’s Field Equations*”, Cambridge U. P., Cambridge.
- [12] MacCallum, M. A. H. and Åman, J. E. (1986), “*Algebraically independent n^{th} derivatives of the Riemann curvature in general relativity*”, Class. Quantum Grav. **3**, 1133–1141.
- [13] MacCallum, M. A. H. and Skea, J. E. F. (1992), “*SHEEP: A Computer Algebra System for General Relativity*”, in “*Algebraic Computing in General Relativity: Lecture Notes from the First Brazilian School on Computer Algebra*”, Vol. 2, edited by M. J. Rebouças and W. L. Roque, Oxford U. P., Oxford.
- [14] Penrose, R. (1960), “*A Spinor Approach to General Relativity*”, Ann. Phys. (NY) **10**, 171–201.